KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) 1 United States Attorney 2 AUG 182005 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division 3 MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) 4 Assistant United States Attorney 5 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 6 Telephone: (408) 535-5035 Facsmile: (408) 535-5066 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 05-00505 14 STIPULATION REGARDING Plaintiff, EXCLUDABLE TIME AND [PROPOSED] 15 ORDER v. 16 CURTIS SALISBURY, aka geewhzz, aka 00713, 17 Defendant. 18 19 It is hereby stipulated and agreed between defendant Curtis Salisbury, and his defense 20 counsel, and the United States as follows: 21 On August 18, 2005, defendant Salisbury was arraigned on a five-count indictment, charging 22 as follows: Count One: Conspiracy to Commit Criminal Copyright Infringement, in violation of 23 18 U.S.C. § 371; Counts Two and Three: Copyright Infringement By Distributing A 24 Copyrighted Work on a Computer Network, and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 25 506(a)(1)(C), 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 2319(d)(2); Counts Four and Five: Unauthorized Recording of 26 Motion Pictures in a Motion Picture Exhibition Facility, and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 27 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 2319B(a)(1); and Criminal Forfeiture and Destruction Allegation, in violation of 28 STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CR 05-00505

17 U,S.C. §§ 506(b) and 509(a), and 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 2319B(b). A status conference was set for 1 August ___, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Ronald M. Whyte. 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 So stipulated. 18 Dated: August 18, 2005 19 20 21 22 23 So stipulated. 24 Dated: August 18, 2005 25 26 27 28

In this case, the government is providing initial discovery to the defense. A substantial amount of discovery consists of digital evidence. The parties stipulate and move the Court to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act from the date of the arraignment, August 18, 2005, until the next status conference on September 24, 2005, because the parties believe that the ends of justice served by the granting of such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, particularly since reasonable time is needed for the defense to prepare for pretrial and trial matters, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). The parties further stipulate that time may be excluded for reasonable time for defense preparation, since the failure to exclude time would deny counsel for the defendant reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). There are also three co-defendants in this case and time was previously excluded from July 14, 2005 to September 26, 2005, which presents a separate basis for exclusion, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7) (excluding time for reasonable period of delay when the defendant is joined for trial with a codefendant as to whom the time for trial has not run and no motion for severance has been granted). KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney MARK L. KROTOSKI Assistant United States Attorney D.a. Braun Attorney for Defendant Salisbury

5

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Stipulation and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between August 18, 2005 and September 26, 2005 shall be excluded from the computation period within which the trial must commence, for the reasons and based upon the statutory provisions set forth by the parties in this Stipulation, including that time is needed for effective defense preparation. The Court finds that the ends of justice outweigh the interests of the public and the parties in a speedier trial based upon the grounds set forth above.

DATED: August ///, 2005

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge